Windows Exploit Mitigations
Some statements:

- “Windows is insecure”
- “Firefox is more secure than IE”

In respect of memory corruptions – Are these statements (still) true?
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Stack Canaries
Windows: Stack Canary

Stack Canaries

- Integrated in Visual Studio
- `/gs`
- Since Visual Studio 2002
- Deployed in: XP SP2

Version

- GS v1 (2002)
- GS v2 (2005)
- GS v3 (2010)
Windows Exploit

SEH / AntiSEH
Windows: SEH

SEH Overwrite

- Structured Exception Handler
- Located on the stack
- To handle exceptions

Favorite target for Windows exploits for years

Windows: SEH

- SEH
- &next SEH
- &SEH
- Argument 1
- SIP
- SBP
- Local Variables
Windows: SEH

- SEH
- &next SEH
  - &SEH
  - Argument 1
  - SIP
  - SBP
  - Local Variables
Windows: SEH

Mitigation: SafeSEH
- VS2003: /SafeSEH
- Whitelist of safe exception handlers

Mitigation: Dynamic SafeSEH
- End of SEH List has a validation frame
- The complete SEH list has to be valid (*next)

Mitigation: SEHOP
- Default active in Windows Server 2008, Vista SP2 (?)
- SEH Overwrite Protection
Windows Exploits

Ret2libc
Windows: Call convention

Call convention:
- "Stdcall" call convention
  - Caller pushes arguments
  - Callee pops arguments (unlike linux!)

Can call Windows library functions
- E.g: VirtualProtect()
  - Changes the permission of a memory region
  - Can make it executable again (removing DEP)
Windows: ret2libc

VirtualProtect: Set memory protection bits

BOOL WINAPI VirtualProtect(
    _In_   LPVOID lpAddress,
    _In_   SIZE_T dwSize,
    _In_   DWORD f1NewProtect,
    _Out_  PDWORD lpf1OldProtect
);
Ret2libc chaining:

```c
BOOL WINAPI VirtualProtect(
    _In_  LPVOID lpAddress,
    _In_  SIZE_T dwSize,
    _In_  DWORD flNewProtect,
    _Out_ PDWORD lpflOldProtect
);
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><code>&lt;shellcode&gt;</code></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lpflOldProtect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flNewProtect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dwSize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lpAddress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp;jmp esp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP (&amp;&lt;VirtualProtect&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isAdmin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>firstname</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Windows: ret2libc

Ret2libc chaining:

BOOL WINAPI VirtualProtect(
    _In_  LPVOID lpAddress,
    _In_  SIZE_T dwSize,
    _In_  DWORD flNewProtect,
    _Out_ PDWORD lpf10ldProtect
);

<shellcode>
&amp;writeableAddr
RWX
len(shellcode)
&amp;shellcode
&amp;jmp esp
SIP (&amp;<VirtualProtect>)
SFP
isAdmin
firstname
Windows: ret2libc

Ret2libc chaining:

BOOL WINAPI VirtualProtect(
    _In_    LPVOID lpAddress,
    _In_    SIZE_T dwSize,
    _In_    DWORD flNewProtect,
    _Out_   PDWORD lpf1OldProtect
);

<shellcode>
&amp;writeableAddr
RWX
len(shellcode)
&amp;shellcode
&amp;jmp esp
SIP (&&VirtualProtect>)
SFP
isAdmin
firstname
Windows: ret2libc

Conclusion:

Possible to chain library calls

Like ROP, just for function calls

Can defeat DEP (or be used for other things)
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ASLR
Windows: ASLR

ASLR in Windows
- Introduced in Windows Vista

Windows 7
- Randomized: Heap and Stack
- Not randomized: VirtualAlloc, MapViewOfFile
- A little randomized: PEBs, TEBPs

Windows 8
- Opt-in:
- More things are randomized
- A little bit more randomized: PEBs, TEPBs
- High entropy ASLR for 64 bit processes
  - Anti heap-spray
Windows: ASLR

Windows ASLR problems
- Not all binaries are compiled with relocation
- Windows Vista: Relocation on Boot
  - Brute force able
  - Heap spraying

- Not all libraries are compiled with relocation!
  - Adobe Flash…
  - Adobe PDF…
  - Java…
  - Some Antivirus inject(ed) DLLs
    - On every process
    - On static addresses…
Windows: ASLR

Pidgin DLL ASLR status:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>ASLR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wow64.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\wow64.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wow64win.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\wow64win.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wow64cpu.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\wow64cpu.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ntdll.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\SysWOW64\ntdll.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ntdll.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\ntdll.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libpng14-14.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\bin\libpng14-14.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libmeanwhile-1.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\libmeanwhile-1.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hooxpot.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Dexpot\hooxpot.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exchndl.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\exchndl.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libgtk-win32-2.0-0.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\bin\libgtk-win32-2.0-0.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libatk-1.0-0.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\bin\libatk-1.0-0.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libsilcclient-1-1-3.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\libsilcclient-1-1-3.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libwimp.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\lib\gtk-2.0\2.10.0\engines\li...</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zlib1.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\bin\zlib1.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libgobject-2.0-0.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\bin\libgobject-2.0-0.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libsic-1-1-2.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\libsic-1-1-2.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libfontconfig-1.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Pidgin\Gtk\bin\libfontconfig-1.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Windows: ASLR

Dexpot DLL injection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>ASLR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cfgrm32.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\SysWOW64\cfgrm32.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imm32.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\SysWOW64\imm32.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wow64.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\wow64.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wow64win.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\wow64win.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wow64cpu.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\wow64cpu.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ntdll.dll</td>
<td>C:\Windows\SysWOW64\ntdll.dll</td>
<td>ASLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hooxpot.dll</td>
<td>C:\Program Files (x86)\Dexpot\hooxpot.dll</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>locale.nls</td>
<td>C:\Windows\System32\locale.nls</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Windows: ASLR

## ASLR entropy improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entropy (in bits) by region</th>
<th>Windows 7</th>
<th>Windows 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32-bit</td>
<td>64-bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom-up allocations (opt-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacks</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heaps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-down allocations (opt-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEBs/TEBs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXE images</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLL images</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ASLR DLL images (opt-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 64-bit DLLs based below 4GB receive 14 bits, EXEs below 4GB receive 8 bits

ASLR entropy is the same for both 32-bit and 64-bit processes on Windows 7

64-bit processes receive much more entropy on Windows 8, especially with high entropy (HE) enabled
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HEAP
Heap Protections:

2004: Safe unlinking

2006: Vista heap hardening

Win8:
- Additional Heap metadata structure improvements
- Guard pages
- Allocation order randomization
  - Makes HEAP massaging more difficult
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EMET
Windows: EMET

Enhanced Mitigation Experience Toolkit

- DEP
- SEHOP
- NullPage
- HeapSpray
- EAF, EAF+ (Export Address Filtering)
- ASLR
- ROP Caller check
- Stack Pivot
- ASR (Attack Surface Reduction)
Windows: EMET

EMET Settings example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>App Name</th>
<th>DEP</th>
<th>SEHOP</th>
<th>NullPage</th>
<th>HeapSpray</th>
<th>EAF</th>
<th>EAF+</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Bott...</th>
<th>Loa...</th>
<th>Me...</th>
<th>Caller</th>
<th>Sim...</th>
<th>Sta...</th>
<th>ASR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iexplore.exe</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wordpad.exe</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTLOOK.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINWORD.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXCEL.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POWERPNT.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSACCESS.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPUB.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFOPATH.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISIO.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPREVIEW.EXE</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use it

No really, use it

- 0-Day Protection
- Automatic configuration is OK
- Protect every program which is handling untrusted data
  - All network services
  - Tools like PDF readers, Chat programs, Photoshop etc.

Downsides:

- Download manually…
- Not updated via windows update
- Not localized (…)
- Incompatible programs will crash
- It may confuse users
## Windows: EMET

**Update 2017: EMET is dead?**

- EOL July 31, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Force System Mitigation</th>
<th>Win7</th>
<th>Win7 + EMET</th>
<th>Win10</th>
<th>Win10 + EMET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEHOP</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASLR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinning</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonts</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFG</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Force Application Mitigation</th>
<th>Win7</th>
<th>Win7 + EMET</th>
<th>Win10</th>
<th>Win10 + EMET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEHOP</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NullPage</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeapSpray</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAF</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAF+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASLR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BottUpASLR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoadLib</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MemProt</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caller</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SimExecFlow</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StackPivot</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonts</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFG</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 32-bit processes only
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History
High Level Timeline – Notable Client Mitigations

- **2001**: None
- **2004**: DEP, SafeSEH, Safe Unlink, Canaries - /GS
- **2007**: ASLR, LFH, SEHOP
- **2009**: EMET
- **2012**: Null Ptr Deref, Guard Pages
- **2015**: CFG
## Windows History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation (SetProcessMitigationPolicy)</th>
<th>Windows 8.1</th>
<th>Windows 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEP (ProcessDEPPolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASLR (ProcessASLRPolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic code prohibited (ProcessDynamicCodePolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strict handle checks (ProcessStrictHandleChecksPolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Win32k system calls disabled (ProcessSystemCallDisablePolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension points disabled (ProcessExtensionPointDisablePolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Flow Guard enabled (ProcessControlFlowGuardPolicy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signatures restricted (ProcessSignaturePolicy)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-system fonts disabled (ProcessFontDisablePolicy)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading of remote and low IL images disabled (ProcessImageLoadPolicy)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. List of mitigations that are available for applications to use to improve their own security.

Windows History

Bill Gates’ “Trustworthy Compting Memo” from 2012

Aka “Stop the fuck you are doing right now, get 6 months of education on how to do things securely”

Security: The data our software and services store on behalf of our customers should be protected from harm and used or modified only in appropriate ways. Security models should be easy for developers to understand and build into their applications.

https://news.microsoft.com/2012/01/11/memo-from-bill-gates/
Windows History

The move was reportedly prompted by the fact that they "...had been under fire from some of its larger customers—government agencies, financial companies and others—about the security problems in Windows, issues that were being brought front and center by a series of self-replicating worms and embarrassing attacks." such as Code Red, Nimda and Klez.
Windows History

Virus:
- Self replicating
- File based
- Requires some user interaction

Worm:
- Self replicating
- Network based
- Requires no user interaction

Trojan:
- Fake some good functionality
- But perform evil actions

Backdoor:
- Bypass authentication/authorization

Malware!
Windows History

SDL: Security Development Lifecycle

What is the Security Development Lifecycle?

The Security Development Lifecycle (SDL) is a software development process that helps developers build more secure software and address security compliance requirements while reducing development cost.

Training Phase

SDL Practice #1: Core Security Training

This practice is a prerequisite for implementing the SDL. Foundational concepts for building better software include secure design, threat modeling, secure coding, security testing, and best practices surrounding privacy.
Windows History

SDL: Security Development Lifecycle

1. TRAINING  2. REQUIREMENTS  3. DESIGN  4. IMPLEMENTATION  5. VERIFICATION  6. RELEASE  7. RESPONSE

Design Phase

SDL Practice #5: Establish Design Requirements

Considering security and privacy concerns early helps minimize the risk of schedule disruptions and reduce a project’s expense.

SDL Practice #6: Attack Surface Analysis/Reduction

Reducing the opportunities for attackers to exploit a potential weak spot or vulnerability requires thoroughly analyzing overall attack surface and includes disabling or restricting access to system services, applying the principle of least privilege, and employing layered defenses wherever possible.

SDL Practice #7: Use Threat Modeling

Applying a structured approach to threat scenarios during design helps a team more effectively and less expensively identify security vulnerabilities, determine risks from those threats, and establish appropriate mitigations.
Windows History

SDL: Security Development Lifecycle


Verification Phase

SDL Practice #11: Perform Dynamic Analysis

Performing run-time verification checks software functionality using tools that monitor application behavior for memory corruption, user privilege issues, and other critical security problems.

SDL Practice #12: Fuzz Testing

Inducing program failure by deliberately introducing malformed or random data to an application helps reveal potential security issues prior to release while requiring modest resource investment.

SDL Practice #13: Attack Surface Review

Reviewing attack surface measurement upon code completion helps ensure that any design or implementation changes to an application or system have been taken into account, and that any new attack vectors created as a result of the changes have been reviewed and mitigated including threat models.
Windows History

Windows XP SP2
- First big step in anti-exploiting
- Compiled with /GS /SAFESEH
- DEP

Windows Vista
- ASLR
Windows History

Windows 8

/VS:
- Better heuristics
- VS now performs bounds checks on array

ASLR:
- Force ASLR on all DLLs of a process (Force ASLR option)
Windows History

Windows 10

- Control Flow Guard (CFG)
  - Anti ROP
  - Needs help from compiler (Visual studio)
  - Pretty damn awesome
  - IE11 @Win8 Update 3

- Edge

- EDGE: MemGC
  - Use-After-Free exploit mitigation

- Improved Kernel ASLR

- EPM (Enhanced Protected Mode, Sandbox for IE)
Control Flow Integrity (CFI)

/guard:cf

- Control Flow Guard

- First, the compiler identifies all indirect branches in a program
- Next, it determines which branches must be protected. For instance, indirect branches that have a statically identifiable target don’t need CFI checks.
- Finally, the compiler inserts lightweight checks at potentially vulnerable branches to ensure the branch target is a valid destination.

Windows History

Example: Windows 10 IE11 + EPM + EMET exploit;

- Find UAF
- Heap massage
- Overwrite arraybuffer length for write-what-where
- Re-enable God-Mode (Compiler fail…)
- Without ROP (because of CFI)
- Execute ActiveX
- -> Still in EPM Sandbox
  - Create local web server via ActiveX
  - Netbios DNS spoof.bruteforce to fake hostname so website is in trusted zone
  - Perform above exploit again in 32bit
  - Full RCE
Windows 10
## Windows 10 Protections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Smss</th>
<th>Csrss</th>
<th>Winlogon</th>
<th>Lsass</th>
<th>Explorer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEASLR, force relocate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic code prohibited</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strict handle checks</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Win32k system calls disabled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension points disabled</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Flow Guard enabled</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signatures restricted</td>
<td>X (MS only)</td>
<td>X (MS only)</td>
<td>X (MS only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-system fonts disabled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading of remote and low IL images disabled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Mitigations that are applied by default for important processes (Windows 10).

Windows 10 Protections

Hypervisor based security
- DeviceGuard, Credential Guard, Hypervisor Code Integrity (HVCI)
- Use separate VM’s for sensitive tasks

Figure 6. Hyper-V architecture with VSM as it is described by the Microsoft security guys.
Windows 10 Protections

Hypervisor based security

- Windows Defender Application Guard

However, when an employee browses to a site that is not recognized or trusted by the network administrator, Application Guard steps in to isolate the potential threat. As shown in the mode outlined in red above, Application Guard creates a new instance of Windows at the hardware layer, with an entirely separate copy of the kernel and the minimum Windows Platform Services required to run Microsoft Edge. The underlying hardware enforces that this separate copy of Windows has no access to the user's normal operating environment.

Windows Exploit Mitigations
Conclusion
Windows: Conclusion

It's not 2001 anymore...

- We don't need to reboot Windows to change IP address anymore
- We don't have IE6 anymore (IE7 was a partial rewrite after the Bill Gates Memo)

- Current Windows versions have anti exploiting techniques, which:
  - Are superior to Linux one's
  - Enabled by default
  - But still not complete
Windows: Conclusion

Main problems:

- Backwards compatibility / technical depth
  - Parts of UI in Kernelspace
  - Pass the hash / Kerberos...
- 3rd party programs
  - Adobe (Flash, PDF Reader)
  - Oracle (Java)
  - Cisco (Webex)
  - HP (Data “Protector”)
- Monocoltoure (everybody has the same Windows version)
- Unsavy users
- Worse: Unsavy administrators
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